UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/580,596	05/25/2006	David Vincent Negrotto	70325USPCT	1729	
22847 SYNGENTA F	7590 11/13/2007 BIOTECHNOLOGY, INC	EXAMINER			
PATENT DEPARTMENT			KUBELIK, ANNE R		
3054 CORNW. P.O. BOX 122			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESEARCH T	RIANGLE PARK, NC 2	NC 27709-2257 1638			
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		11/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/580,596	NEGROTTO ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Anne R. Kubelik	1638		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	ICATION.  reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,	
Status	•		•		
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	- action is non-final. nce except for formal mat		merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) 🗌 6) 🔲 7) 🔲	Claim(s) 1-23 and 26-29 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-23 and 26-29 are subject to restriction	vn from consideration.	ement.		
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyation is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR		
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachmen  1) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-4, 9-12, all in part, drawn to a nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO:1 and plants comprising it.

Group II, claim(s) 1-4, 9-12, all in part, drawn to a nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO:2 and plants comprising it.

Group III, claim(s) 13-14, drawn to a method of detecting plant material derived from COT202, the method using amplification.

Group IV, claim(s) 15-17, drawn to a method of detecting plant material derived from COT202, the method using hybridization.

Group V, claim(s) 18 and 20, drawn to a method of detecting plant material derived from COT202, the method using an antibody.

Group VI, claim(s) 19 and 29, drawn to a method of detecting plant material derived from COT202, the method using a test strip.

Group VII, claim(s) 21, drawn to a method of detecting plant material derived from COT202, the method using insects.

Group VIII, claim(s) 22-23, drawn to a kit of parts comprising a means for detecting the presence of plant material derived from COT202.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,596

Art Unit: 1638

Groups IX-XIV, claim(s) 26-28, all in part, drawn to a pair of primers, wherein

in Group IX the primers are SEQ ID NO:3 and 4.

in Group X the primers are SEQ ID NO:3 and 5.

in Group XI the primers are SEQ ID NO:3 and 6.

in Group XII the primers are SEQ ID NO:3 and 14.

in Group XIII the primers are SEQ ID NO:13 and 4.

in Group XIV the primers are SEQ ID NO:13 and 5.

in Group XV the primers are SEQ ID NO:13 and 6.

in Group XVI the primers are SEQ ID NO:13 and 14.

in Group XVII the primers are SEQ ID NO:15 and 4.

in Group XVIII the primers are SEQ ID NO:15 and 5.

in Group XIX the primers are SEQ ID NO:15 and 6.

in Group XX the primers are SEQ ID NO:15 and 14.

in Group XXI the primers are SEQ ID NO:17 and 4.

in Group XXII the primers are SEQ ID NO:17 and 5.

in Group XXIII the primers are SEQ ID NO:17 and 6.

in Group XIV the primers are SEQ ID NO:17 and 14.

These groups are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The technical feature of the groups is one of 17 contiguous nucleotides of SEQ ID NO:1, 17 contiguous nucleotides of SEQ ID NO:2 or the VIP3A protein. None of these technical features is present in all groups.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,596

Art Unit: 1638

However, GenBank Accession number AC101211, cited in the search report, teach 17 contiguous nucleotides of SEQ ID NO:1. Thus, claim 1 among others, is not novel and this technical feature is not special.

Further, US Patents 5,877,012, 6,107,279 and 6,137,033, cited in the paragraph spanning pg 1-2 of the instant specification, teach the VIP3A protein. Thus, this technical feature is not special.

Therefore, Groups are not so linked under PCR Rule 13.1.

Applicant is reminded that a determination regarding unity of invention is made without regard to whether a group of inventions is claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim (MPEP 1893(d), last paragraph).

Applicant is advised that for the reply to this requirement to be complete, it <u>must</u> include (i) an election of an invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be present at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of the right petition under 37 CFR 1.144.

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patently distinct,

Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

Art Unit: 1638

inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a refection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention(s).

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

2. Effective November 1, 2007, if applicant wishes to present more than 5 independent claims or more than 25 total claims in an application, applicant will be required to file an examination support document (ESD) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 before the first Office action on the merits (hereafter "5/25 claim threshold"). See Changes to Practice for Continued Examination Filings, Patent Applications Containing Patentably Indistinct Claims, and Examination of Claims in Patent Applications, 72 Fed. Reg. 46715 (Aug. 21, 2007), 1322 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 76 (Sept. 11, 2007) (final rule). The changes to 37 CFR 1.75(b) apply to any pending applications in which a first Office action on the merits (FAOM) has not been mailed before November 1, 2007. Withdrawn claims will not be taken into account in determining whether an application exceeds the 5/25 claim threshold. For more information on the final rule, please see <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/presentation/clmcontfinalrule.html">http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/presentation/clmcontfinalrule.html</a>.

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in this Office action, applicant is required to file an election responsive to the restriction requirement. Applicant may not file a suggested restriction requirement (SRR) in lieu of an election responsive to the restriction requirement as a reply. A SRR alone will not be considered a *bona-fide* reply to this Office action.

If applicant elects an invention that is drawn to no more than 5 independent claims and no more than 25 total claims, applicant will not be required to file an ESD in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 that covers each of the elected claims. If the elected invention is drawn to more than 5 independent claims or more than 25 total claims, applicant may file an amendment canceling a number of elected claims so that the elected invention would be drawn to no more than 5 independent claims and no more than 25 total claims.

Art Unit: 1638

If the restriction requirement is mailed on or after November 1, 2007, applicant is also required to file an ESD in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 that covers each of the elected claims, unless the elected invention is drawn to no more than 5 independent claims and no more than 25 total claims taking into account any amendment to the claims. To avoid the abandonment of the application, the ESD (if required) and the election must be filed within TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this Office action. The two-month time period for reply is extendable under 37 CFR 1.136.

If the restriction requirement is mailed <u>before</u> November 1, 2007, the election must be filed within **ONE MONTH** or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this Office action. The time period for reply is extendable under 37 CFR 1.136. Furthermore, if the elected invention is drawn to more than 5 independent claims or more than 25 total claims taking into account any amendment to the claims, the Office will notify applicant and provide a time period in which applicant is required to file an ESD in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 covering each of the elected claims or amend the application to contain no more than 5 independent elected claims and no more than 25 total elected claims.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne R. Kubelik, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0801. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg, can be reached at (571) 272-0975.

The central fax number for official correspondence is (571) 273-8300.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Anne Kubelik, Ph.D. October 31, 2007

ANNE KUBELIK, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mui De